Emergency Scenario Simulation Training for Clinical Staff
– what have we learnt from it?
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Background
The expert investigation into the 2006 Northwick Park Clinical Research ‘disaster’ resulted - amongst others – in the stipulation to set up MHRA accredited Phase I Trials centres. Additional to Immediate Life Support (ILS) training, staff at these centres would have to regularly undertake simulation training in dealing effectively with emergency situations they may encounter with clinical research participants (MHRA 2007).

Aim
In 2010, the management of the Cambridge Clinical Research Facility regarded such a training requirement as a ‘gold standard’ to be achieved by all its clinical staff, regardless of whether the unit would have Phase I trials or not. The aim was that each of the unit’s clinical staff (26 Clinical Research Nurses, 2 Assistant Practitioners) would have effective emergency scenario simulation training at least once a year. This was to be additional to annual Basic Life Support/ Immediate Life Support training.

Rationale for Emergency Scenario Simulation Training
• To enhance research participants’ safety
• To expose clinical research staff to peri-arrest situations relevant to their work
• For staff to gain confidence and competence in dealing with emergency scenarios in a safe and supportive training environment

Method / Implementation
• Sought expert input for design of training programme
• Identified weaknesses in staff knowledge relating to clinical emergency situations
• Designed format that combined a carefully constructed formal training programme with running ‘hands-on’ scenarios in the Trust’s Simulation Centre
• Established collaborative working between Cambridge CRF staff, the Trust’s resuscitation trainers and medical clinicians working in the research field
• All learners have to actively engage in several simulated scenarios
• The learners have no prior knowledge of the scenarios
• Debriefing of the scenarios forms an essential part of the day
• Each session is followed-up with a detailed evaluation
• Identified improvements are implemented and re-evaluated

Outcomes
• 14 training days to-date - mean average number of learners per course, n = 5.7
• All CRF nursing staff have undertaken this training at least once a year
• Each scenario covered has generated relevant and new learning points the attendees considered valuable
• Course evaluations confirm that staff find the training improves their confidence in dealing with clinical emergency situations (for 73-85% of the learners the training was the only emergency situation they had encountered in the prior 12 months)

Lessons learnt / Discussion
• The organisation required for each session is complex and time-consuming, but becomes easier over time.
• It is essential to draw on the expertise of the Trust resuscitation trainers.
• Learners new to the training are worried about the unexpected and report high pre-course anxiety.
• It requires empathy (especially during the debriefing) and skill to ensure the attendees take to the learning outcomes. Trainers are advised to undertake specific training for this.
• Planned emergency scenario training should ideally be complemented with unannounced simulated scenarios happening in the actual work place.
• Formally arranged simulation training in a simulation centre has proven to be an effective way for clinical research staff to become more confident in the matter.
• The framework in place at the Cambridge CRF can be adapted elsewhere.

Examples of Scenarios
• Massive blood loss following liver biopsy
• Anaphylaxis following administration of investigational drug
• Syncope secondary to hypoglycaemia
• Sepsis due to chest infection in patient with severe traumatic brain injury

Method / Implementation
10.15 Oxygen policy
10.30 Break
10.50 Effective communication - SBAR handover - film clip & discussion
11.15 Anaphylaxis policy
12.30 DVD example of a what the simulation training will be like
12.15 Lunch
13.00 Introduction to Simulation Centre
13.15 Session is lead by CRF staff: facilitators involved: Simulation Centre Staff together with two CRF staff and (if available) doctor playing role of medic on site or on call
In groups of 2 or 3, learners undertake 3 different scenarios. There is a live video link to adjoining room, where learners who are not actively involved in the simulation observe scenarios on a screen
A debriefing session follows straight after each scenario
14.45 Break
15.00 2-3 further scenarios
16.30 Evaluation. Finish
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